Law of Property under Roman Law

In the following written material, the law related to property including possession and ownership shall be discussed. As a prelude to the current law, a special mention is being made here to the law of property as it existed in Roman Law as it is imperative to understand the origins of the law. Roman law is responsible for the conceptual formation of the main areas of law that we study today.

Roman Private Law was primarily categorized into the following :

- (A) Persons.
- (B) Things.
- (C) Actions.

'Things' were related to property, obligation and succession. Interest in property could further be classified under :

- (a) Legal Interests (Ownership and limited real rights).
- (b) Factual interests (Possession).

Gaius had divided property into two categories . One was subject to human law and the other was subject to divine law .

<u>The concept of 'res'</u>: Originally it meant 'thing'. Later it came to be known as 'property'. Property in Roman Law meant any asset that had economic value . The wide meaning of property was connected to categorization of property into ' res corporeal' and 'res incorporeal'.

Classification of Property under Roman law :

- (1) Corporeal and incorporeal things.
- (2) Public and Private.
- (3) Movable and Immovable.
- (4) Res mancipi and res nec mancipi.
 - Corporeal and Incorporeal : It was one of the earliest classification of property under Roman Law . Only corporeal things could be owned and possessed through the device called *usucapio* . A corporeal thing is something which could be touched ,

while an incorporeal thing being immaterial could not be touched. The chief examples of incorporeal things were inheritance, obligations, limited rights over property belonging to another person (servitudes).

- (2) Public and Private things : Public things included
 - (a) *res communes*: These were the things common to all men, eg: air, running water, sea. Even if they could not be owned, they could be enjoyed. An interference with their enjoyment result in a delict (legal wrong).
 - (b) res publicae : Public property belonging to State , eg: public roads , harbours , ports , rivers , bridges , enemy property . Provincial land i.e. land in the provinces outside Roman territory .
 - (c) *res universitatis*: These were the things that were intended for public use, owned by corporate public bodies such as municipalities and colonies, eg : public streets , building, park.
 - (d) *res nullius* : It was the property which belonged to no one . It was further classified into
 - (i) *res sancate* : Things considered to be protected by Gods eg : city walls , gates .
 - (ii) *res religiosae* : tombs , mausoleum, land used for burial.
 - (iii) *res sacrae*: dedicated to Gods , temples , shrines and sacred grooves.
 - (iv) Sea shore.
- (3) Movable and Immovable property :
- (4) res mancipi and res nec mancipi : res mancipi can best be described as things useful or essential to the household in each Roman Society, eg: slave, beasts, draft, houses on land, servitude. Whatever was not classified under res mancipi was categorized as res nec mancipi and need not be transferred through a formal deed of conveyance.

Possession under Roman Law : According to Ulpian , ownership has nothing in common with possession . Possession was regarded essentially as physical control of the sort that was protected by possessory interdicts , whereas ownership was the ultimate entitlement to property . Under the Roman Law , possession was more important for the following reasons :

- (a) Since the concept of legal personality was limited, ownership was not conferred on too many people.
- (b) Absence of registration of land.
- (c) The Evidence of ownership was problematic.

Mode of acquiring possession under Roman Law (Dominium)

- (a) Occupatio : Taking property which was res nullius.
- (b) Usucapio : acquisition of ownership through possession for a prescribed period of time .

Instead of defining possession, Roman jurists told us 'how' it was acquired. According to Paul, "Now we take possession physically and mentally, not mentally alone or physically alone."

Paul's assertion necessitates that possession requires physical control i.e. corpus and mental element i.e. animus . To possess with ' body and soul' is the accurate description of Possession .

Corpus : Person could not take possession without taking effective control of the property . Earlier possession could only be acquired over corporeal things. **Animus :** (Mental element) . It means the 'intention' to hold the property of one's own . It means ' consciousness of being in physical control of the thing.' One could not acquire possession if one lacked or was incapable of the required possession . The requirement of animus meant that you did not necessarily possess everything that was in one's physical control .

Acquiring possession for another : Possession could be acquired by one person on behalf of another e.g. a slave for the master , a child for paterfamilias . In such cases the general rule was that the initial acquirer had to take the property with corpus and animus , while the ultimate possession had to have animus .

Possessors Interdicts : The standard remedies in disputes about possession were the possessory interdicts issued by the *Praetors*. Possessory interdicts were classified according to their purpose :

- (a) Acquiring possession : Issued for property which was previously not possessed.
- (b) Retaining possession : It was further classified into two parts

- (i) Uti posidetis (possession interdicts issued for land)
- (ii) *ut rubi* (possession interdict issued for movable).

(c) Recovering possession : Where force had been used to obtain possession , the appropriate remedies were *utrubi* for movables and interdicts *undevi* for immovables . *Undevi* meant restoration of land to one who had been evicted by force , provided the possession had not been acquired by force , stealth or permission .